

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/768,446		01/24/2001	Jules S. Cohen	MSFT-0244/148481.1	2394		
27372	7590	04/02/2004		EXAMI	EXAMINER		
WOODC	OCK WA	SHBURN KURTZ	KENNEDY, LESA M				
		ORRIS LLP EN J. ROCCI, ESO.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
ONE LIB	ERTY PLA	CE, 46TH FLOOR	2151				
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103				DATE MAILED: 04/02/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. Office Action Summary		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)					
		09/768,446		COHEN ET AL.	/				
		Examiner		Art Unit					
71 8664 1016 7 6	Lesa Kenned		2151						
Period for Reply	E of this communication app	ears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
THE MAILING DATE OF  - Extensions of time may be availat after SIX (6) MONTHS from the m  - If the period for reply specified ab  - If NO period for reply is specified  - Failure to reply within the set or expenses.	ove is less than thirty (30) days, a reply above, the maximum statutory period v xtended period for reply will, by statute ater than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, h y within the statutory will apply and will exp c, cause the application	owever, may a reply be tin minimum of thirty (30) day ire SIX (6) MONTHS from in to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.				
Status									
1) Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on 24 Ja	anuary 2001.							
2a) This action is FINA		action is non-	inal.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims	·	,	,,,						
4a) Of the above cla 5) Claim(s) is/a 6) Claim(s) is/a 7) Claim(s) is/a	re rejected.	wn from consid							
Application Papers									
9) The specification is €	objected to by the Examine	er.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	sheet(s) including the correct ion is objected to by the Ex								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19								
a) All b) Some *  1. Certified copi  2. Certified copi  3. Copies of the application from	made of a claim for foreign c) None of: es of the priority documents es of the priority documents certified copies of the prior om the International Bureau ailed Office action for a list	s have been re s have been re rity documents u (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Application have been received (.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)									
Notice of References Cited (P' 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pater			Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:	ite	)-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/768,446

Art Unit: 2151

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-7 and 31-32, drawn to a system for controlling the migration of data using an adjustable value, classified in class 709, subclass 235 - congestion I. avoiding.
  - Claims 8-14 and 26-30, drawn to a system for transferring data from a client device to a central storage location based on a preset/stored value, classified in II. class 709, subclass 228 – session/connection parameter setting.
    - Claims 15-25 drawn to a system for selecting users for deployment of a new software feature, classified in class 709, subclass 229 - network resource access III. controlling.

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as because it is directed to data migration and can be used in systems which do not use a hashing function. See

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a MPEP § 806.05(d). single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be Art Unit: 2151

separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as because it is directed towards data migration and can be used in systems that do not deploy software features. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as because it is directed towards data migration from client to server and can be used in systems that do not deploy software features. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and different searches are required for each group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. The search for the invention of Group I would require considering class 370, subclass 229 (Data flow congestion prevention or control), while the searches for Groups II and III would not. The search for the invention of Group II would require considering class 707, subclass 1 (Database or file accessing), while the search for Group III would not. The search for the invention of Group III would require considering class 717, subclass 172 (Including the distribution of software), while the searches for Groups I and II would not.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete **must include an election** of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

## Conclusion

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire **one month (not less than 30 days)** from the mail date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will result in ABANDONMENT of the application (see 35 U.S.C. 133, M.P.E.P. 710.02, 710.02(b)).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lesa Kennedy whose telephone number is (703) 305-8865. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenton Burgess can be reached on (703) 305-4792. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Lesa Kennedy Art Unit 2151 Andrew Caldwell Andrew Caldwell